

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****Patent and Trademark Office**Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/462, 993	04/17/00	KIENY	M 017753-122

NORMAN H STEPNO
BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS
PO BOX 1404
ALEXANDRIA VA 22313-1404

HM22/0202

EXAMINER	
WOITACH, J	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1632	

DATE MAILED: 02/02/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

FILE

Interview Summary

Application No 09/462,993	Applicant(s) Kieny et al.
Examiner Joseph Woitach	Group Art Unit 1632

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Joseph Woitach

(3) _____

(2) Mercedes Meyer

(4) _____

Date of Interview

Jan 29, 2001

Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to _____) applicant applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No. If yes, brief description:Agreement was reached. was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: pending claims 1-20Identification of prior art discussed:
None.Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:
Applicant contacted Examiner about a previous courtesy call to Teresa Stanek Rea concerning the pending claims.Examiner noted that preliminary amendment could not be entered because the amendments were not consistent with the pending claims. The Examiner consulted SPRE Dixon and confirmed the correct claims were entered into the file.Applicants were advised that for clarity the pending claims 1-20 could be canceled and the amended claims entered as claims 21-58. In addition, a copy of the Article 34 claims 1-20 will be sent to the Applicant (attached).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTO-413 (Rev. 10-95)

Interview Summary

Paper No. 8